

Integrated Drought Management

Programme in Central and Eastern Europe



Act. 5.3: Natural small water retention measures

Milestone 3
(20.5.2014)

1. Basic information

Name of the milestone report	Draft of the Guidelines and template for the case studies
Activity leader	Tomasz Okruszko
Participating partners	PL, HUN, SLO, SK
Duration	Till 30/04/2014
Chairman of the CWP	Tomasz Okruszko (GWP Poland)

*Milestone report is information about the progress made within this activity from previous milestone report for GWP CEE Regional Secretariat, Programme Manager, Peer Review Group and partners involved into IDMP CEE. It is not intended for further distribution.

2. Activity Report

2.1. Short summary of the milestone report (max 2500 characters); What have been done after the previous milestone report(s)?

Basing on the output of the Kick-off workshop we have developed the guidelines draft for the first chapters and the template for the case studies. The guidelines are of general nature and basing (as was assumed) on the experience from Poland. Having introduction and structured information we deliver this product to our partners in order to enrich it with experience from partners' countries. The presented draft gives an overview of the needs and possible ways to improve the landscape capacity for storing water. There is information on technical and non-technical measures which can be used in order to store the water at its origin. The role of small water retention as well as tools for choosing the best location has been also introduced. Examples (not final) of drawings, sketches and pictures have been added to the text in order to mimic the final output. The agreed template for the case studies will lead the work of the partners to find the best examples for illustration of challenges and benefits of small retention.

2.2. Describe the progress to the objectives of your activity?

Draft of first four chapters – as a basis for the final document – new development.
Template for the case studies – new development.
Background study in form of the National Tables – improvement (SK)

2.3. The expected final output (s). At what stage you are now in the process of producing the final output(s)?

We are aiming on guidelines.

At this stage two actions are done:

- 1) The draft material is distributed to the partners for enriching by: adding the other countries context, adding the country specific solution, adding the new criteria for the GIS type of study, adding new criteria for evaluation of the small retention measures efficiency.
- 2) Template is distributed for the case study we works on having them filled in. We asked other than

involved countries however only one (negative) response came.

Actions 1 & 2 will be finished by October and 3rd IDMP meeting. The second draft will be issued and 1st draft for the case studies.

2.4. Have you introduced any change in the original plan as outlined in the Activity List?

We have introduced one change instead of draft of Ch5 we gave the draft of Ch1.

Rationale – there are no examples yet, neither theoretical consideration available on incorporating of small retention in the RBMP, FDP or DMP. We have to look on this more. On other hand introduction (chapter 1) should bring some more coherence between the partners.

2.5. Identify links with other IDMP CEE activities

We have links with all demonstration activities. We will incorporate this in the draft #3 after getting coherence in our activity.

2.6. Other issues (problems during the implementation, how they were solved, etc.)

No problems yet

2.7. List if National Reports have been used, and if so, provide details on the National Reports (title, authors, publication data and location)

In this phase we have no national reports.

3. Attachments

- 1) Draft of guidelines - chapters 1-4
- 2) Template for the case study
- 3) Improved national tables