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**MISSION OF THE „FORESTS AND WATER“ WORKING GROUP**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Better understanding the forest and water relationship in headwater catchments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Environmental services in headwater catchments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Participatory watershed management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Citizen science and active citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Environmental education for sustainability in changing world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>Demonstration projects and training courses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Secretariat provided by FAO: Yuka.Makino@fao.org*
Outcomes: Springer Book published in 2017
CASE STUDY: FORESTRY IN CATCHMENTS OF DRINKING WATER RESERVOIRS AFFECTED BY ACID ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION
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THE JIZERA MTS. (CZECH REPUBLIC)

In the 1980s and 1990s:

- Low pH values
- High contents of Al$^{3+}$
- Fishless streams and reservoirs
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CHANGING PRIORITIES IN WATERSHED MANAGEMENT

1. 1900 – 1970: Flood control
   a) Building retention dams after the catastrophic floods in 1897
   b) Reforestation

2. Since 1970: Drinking water supply
   a) Retention dams changing to water supply systems

3. Since 1980, particularly, control of water quality
   a) Reduction of the acid atmospheric deposition
   b) Participatory watershed management
PROBLEMS

1. Commerical forestry:
   
a) Converting native forests - Common beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), Common silver fir (*Abies alba*), and Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) – to spruce plantations, during the 19th century.

   b) Clear-cut and heavy mechanisation, used in the 1980s and 1990s.

2. Extreme acid atmospheric deposition (emissions of Sulphur and Nitrogen) culminating in the late 1980s.

3. Expected climate change impacts (2071-2100):
   
   Water yield reduced: 10 – 40 %.
   
   More intensive extreme events: rainstorms, flash-floods, and draughts.
   
   Seasonal runoff changes: drop (20 – 90 %) in the summer, increase (30 – 50 %) in the winter.
   
   Snow cover reduced: 30 %.
   
   Spruce stands endangered: 50 %.
IMPACT OF SPRUCE CANOPY REDUCTION ON STREAMFLOW CHEMISTRY
MODIFICATION OF FOREST STANDS IN FOCUSED WATERSHEDS

To support water resources recharge, to reduce the acid atmospheric load and to mitigate flood genesis:

- Regulating the acid deposition in elevations > 900 m,

- Increasing water yield at slopes < 25°,

- Controlling runoff genesis and soil erosion at slopes > 25°,

- Support of riparian buffer zones (width of ca 30 m) to protect the drainage network.
**Structured Forestry Practices for Drinking Water Supply**

- Identification of stakeholders and roundtable debate.

- Core participants: managers in sectors of water, forestry, nature conservation, municipalities, and recreation business.

- The aim: to identify optimum forestry practices in watersheds of Josefův Důl (JD) and Souš (S) to support the water resources recharge (quantity and quality), according to the *Water Act 254/2001 Coll.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria C</th>
<th>Weights w</th>
<th>Rating A₁ A₂ A₃ A₄</th>
<th>a₁ a₂ a₃ a₄</th>
<th>Performance values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₁. Water quality</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5 4 2 1</td>
<td>150 120 60 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂. Water yield</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 2 3 3</td>
<td>20 20 30 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃. Flood prevention</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 3 2 1</td>
<td>80 60 40 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄. Biodiversity</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 3 2 1</td>
<td>80 60 40 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₅. Timber yield</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 2 4 5</td>
<td>20 20 40 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₆. Recreation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3 3 2 2</td>
<td>30 30 20 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>380 310 230 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S RUCTURED FORESTRY ZONATION

1) Riparian buffer strips
2) Zones of soil protection
3) Evapotranspiration control
4) Areas of significant fog drip
5) Wetlands.
**Annual Deposition of Sulphur**

**Scenario A:**
Even aged mature spruce forest stands

**Scenario B:**
Structured forestry with beech stands in riparian and soil protection zones; spruce stands with reduced crown closure to 0.5 at the slopes below 30%, and, grass cover in elevations above 900 m
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