





Federal Ministry for the



Regional Roundtable "Water, Food, Energy and Environment Nexus in Southeastern Europe"

Zagreb, Croatia 9-10 December 2014

Experiences from The Sava River Basin NEXUS Assessment

by Samo Grošelj **Deputy Secretary** International Sava River Basin Commission

In the framework of: South East Europe 2020 Strategy **Petersberg Phase II / Athens Declaration Process UNECE Water Convention** Mediterranean Component of the European Union Water Initiative **Environment and Security Initiative, SEE Region**



with funding from Austrian **Development Cooperation**





Overview



- Sava river basin
- Sava nexus assessment (Objectives/expectations)
- Emerging issues
- Potential solutions
- Ongoing activities
- Challenges
- Further activities
- Recommendations





Sava River Basin overview map



Area: 97 713 km² (the second largest Danube sub-basin; share: 12%)

Average flow at mouth: 1722 m³/s (the largest Danube tributary; contribution: 25%)

River length: 940 km (594 km of which is the waterway)

Population: approx. 8.5 million



Sava River Basin
Danube River Basi

RO

BG

SK

HU

RS

AL

MK

GF

BA

HR

DE

IT

UA

MD

Sava river basin

- Challenges
 - Use of resources: development and protection
 - Management of resources: national \rightarrow transboundary
- Legal and institutional framework for cooperation
 - Framework Agreement on the Sava River Basin
 - International Sava River Basin Commission
- Broad scope of work → Many sectors involved



Sava nexus assessment

• **Objectives/expectations** – to contribute to:

- **Dialogue** with sectoral stakeholders
- Integration of policies
- Inter-sectoral coordination
- RBM planning





Introductory workshop

- Development plans and sectoral goals shared (national & basin level)
- Key **intersectoral linkages** identified (future development of sectors; climate change)
- Scenarios discussed
 - Hydropower development
 - Agriculture expansion
 - Climate change





- Hydropower expansion upstream and on tributaries
- Need for flood protection measures and related transboundary coordination







- Agricultural land expansion (irrigated)
- **Dependence on energy production** from thermal power plants (using water for cooling)





- **Point source pollution** (lack of wastewater treatment) and **diffuse pollution** (from agriculture, in particular)
- Sedimentation and erosion (depending on land use and practices)
- Hydromorphologic alterations of the river
- **Pressure on groundwater** (increasing)









• **Climate change** (*Water & Climate Adaptation Plan for SRB*) (mean flow reduction; increase in frequency of extreme events)





Potential solutions

- Multipurpose use of the existing and planned infrastructure (including dams) across countries (example from Croatia and Slovenia)
- Navigation & sediment control at the basin level
- Targeting energy efficiency (proposal from Energy Community)
- Further **integration of the energy and agriculture sectors** in the RBM planning and implementation process



Potential solutions

- Improvement of flood protection infrastructure and flood management (e.g. operation of reservoirs and dams)
- Economically valuing ecosystems (proposal from WWF)
- **Drought resilience** water management and in particular demand for cooling water in power plants
- Development of **renewable solutions** to supply local demand (solar and wind integrated with hydropower)



Ongoing activities

Νο	Activity	Activity holder	Outcome
0	Development of methodology	KTH	Methodology of Nexus assessment
1	Data collection		
	Analysis of data needs	KTH, JRC	List of data needs
	Analysis of data existence	National facilitators	List of existing data
	Consultation of data availability	Countries experts	List of available data
	Provision of available data	Countries	
2	Modeling, analysis	KTH, JRC	Draft Nexus Report Hydro-economical models



Challenges (data collection)

Considerable amount of data needed

- Data owned by many institutions
- > Different level of the data availability in the countries
- > Difference between **data existance** and **data availability**
- Data collection is not an easy process
- Consultation with national experts

Challenges (Institutions considered) RNATIONAL SAVA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

- Transnational agreements (FASRB, Danube River Protection Convention)
- Central Government (Ministries)
- Government agencies (National Agencies)
- Regional level (Regional Agencies, Government)
- Local level (Government)
- Energy producers (Electro Companies)





Future activities - proposed

No	Activity	Activity holder	Outcome	
3	Consultation on Draft Nexus Report			
	Consultation on ISRBC web- site	Countries' experts	Comments submitted	
	Final consultation workshop	Countries' experts	Agreement on the stakeholders' input for finalization of the Report	
4	Finalization of the NEXUS Report	KTH (JRC)		
5	Review and endorsement	Nexus Task Force <i>(April 2015)</i>		



Recommendations

Templates for data collection

- Keep them as simple as possible
- Make clear what the data will be used for
- **National 'facilitators'**: give them a more important role in the process (more responsibility, more time)
- **Plan a consultation meeting** on the data to be used for the assessment (moderate costs, considerable benefit)
- **Plan an additional workshop** at the end of the consultation process on the draft assessment report (best feedback of the countries, best outcome of the assessment)



Contact information

International Sava River Basin Commission

Kneza Branimira 29

10000 Zagreb

Croatia

www.savacommission.org

