Case studies for the GWP ToolBox: Guidelines for case preparation

A case study is an examination of real events and practical experience with the implementation of Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) and provides an analytical and critical account. Case studies are an essential part of the IWRM ToolBox, the interactive database of the Global Water Partnership for exchanging and sharing knowledge about putting IWRM into practice. This note provides guidelines for authors and organisations in the preparation of case studies for inclusion in the GWP’s IWRM ToolBox.

1. What is a GWP case?

An essential part of the IWRM ToolBox
The GWP ToolBox brings together global knowledge and experience of IWRM, making this experience available to water professionals and policy makers. The ToolBox contains a range of policy tools (see over) that can be used together or in combinations towards the goals of IWRM.

CASE STUDIES offer a critical examination of real events, and provide information about how different policy tools can be used for IWRM. They help people to learn from experience in the implementation of more sustainable water strategies and policies. They form a central element of the Toolbox and provide a valuable dissemination mechanism for improving understanding of IWRM. Case studies are put into the ToolBox, are available through the Internet and can be downloaded as PDF files by users.

What is an IWRM approach?
The IWRM approach involves:
− an integrated approach to water, looking across sectors at society’s total needs for water;
− the sustainable management of water resources, to ensure that water resources are used sustainably for future generations;
− recognition that water is a valuable resource and the value should be reflected in how it is used; and
− a participatory approach to water resources management, involving stakeholders to ensure equity as well as efficiency in water use.

GWP particularly seeks cases which relate the sectors to each other or illustrate how IWRM policy approaches (using the tools) improve water resource management in an individual sector.

Are there clear criteria for GWP Case Studies?
Cases support the development of IWRM as a better approach to ensuring sustainable water resource management. A case may describe for example a wholly integrated approach to water – for example in the successful outcomes in a river basin or catchment area; or they may cover reform in practices in a specific sector which adopt an IWRM approach, using the IWRM tools and achieving a better outcome. As well as success stories, case studies can also describe and discuss situations where things went wrong or where outcomes were very different from those expected. Generally, cases that are analysed for inclusion in the Toolbox should:
− Illustrate the application of tools shown in the ToolBox
− Have overall relevance to IWRM – with lessons about how an IWRM approach (as described above) supports water management in each sector
− Reflect both pros and cons in the analysis of the case
− Reflect issues of main concern to the water community
− Have a broad relevance and therefore potential for wide dissemination

Case studies should always be both objective and verifiable. They must of course be informative, add value, increase understanding, and be practical.
What does a GWP case study look like?
Cases should describe the problem faced, the actions taken and examine critically the outcomes and lessons learned. Each case contains a one page abstract, which shows the authors and institutions involved, the main lessons learned and the IWRM operational tools illustrated and is usually developed to a fuller version, of 8 to 10 pages. Each case is linked, through the ToolBox, to other relevant case studies, or to applications of similar policy tools, providing a network of knowledge.

All cases are supported by references to sources of further information, details about the authors and institutions involved and link with other cases and tools in the ToolBox. Further, almost all case studies will build on other documentation. References and links are made to this background documentation in the case study abstract and ToolBox.

Who can propose a case for the GWP ToolBox?
Any GWP partner or person with a special interest in IWRM may propose a case study. GWP, however, has a strong belief in quality assurance, and proposals for cases will be screened for relevance and quality by GWP’s ToolBox team and review panel.
In order that the case is genuinely a balanced account, it is important to involve the institutions involved in the events/decisions discussed if possible. GWP takes very seriously the idea that the cases are objective and verifiable. Where there are two or more strongly defined opinions bout the case in question, then this should be made clear by the authors.

2. Structure of the GWP ToolBox

Users of the ToolBox will find information organised into four main parts: policy guidance; operational tools; case studies, which support the use of tools; and references, organisations and websites. The framework for the Tools in the ToolBox is based on three fundamental elements of IWRM:
A. The enabling environment or rules of the game created by legislation, policy and financing structures.
B. Institutional roles of resource managers, service providers, irrigation agencies, utilities, river basin authorities, regulators and other water sector stakeholders. Capacity building supports the functions required for these roles.
C. Management instruments - water resources assessment, demand management, public information and education, conflict resolution, regulatory devices, economic measures and information and communications.

Within these elements, the ToolBox offers a compendium of some 50 policies and actions – or tools - for putting IWRM into practice. The aim is to illustrate how tools can be used in a given combination and context, through the case studies and the full selection of tools is listed below.

A THE ENABLING ENVIRONMENT

A1 Policies – setting goals for water use, protection and conservation.
A group of tools in the ToolBox deal with water policies and their development. Policy development gives an opportunity for setting national objectives for managing water resources and water service delivery within a framework of overall development objectives.
A1.1 Preparation of a national water resources policy
A1.2 Policies with relation to water resources
A1.3 Climate change adaptation policies

A2 Legal framework – the rules to follow to achieve policies and goals.
The ToolBox includes tools for use in the development of water law. Water law covers the ownership of water, the permits to use (or pollute) it, the transferability of those permits, and customary entitlements and underpin regulatory norms for e.g. conservation, protection, and priorities.
A2.1 Elements of water law
A2.2 Implementation and enforcement
A2.3 Integrating legal frameworks for IWRM
A3  Investment and Financing Structures – allocating financial resources to meet water needs.
The financing needs of the water sector are huge, water projects tend to be indivisible and capital-intensive, and
many countries have major backlogs in developing water infrastructure. The ToolBox has a group of financing and
incentive tools.
  A3.1  Investment frameworks
  A3.2  Strategic financial planning
  A3.3  Generating basis revenues for water
  A3.4  Repayable sources of finance for water

B  INSTITUTIONAL ROLES

B1  Creating an organisational framework – forms and functions.
Starting from the concept of reform of institutions for better water governance, the ToolBox can help the practitioner
create the needed organisations and institutions- from trans-boundary organisations and agreements basin
organisations, regulatory bodies, to local authorities, civil society organisations and partnerships.
  B1.1  Reforming institutions for better governance
  B1.2  Transboundary organisations for water resource management
  B1.3  National apex bodies
  B1.4  River basin organisations
  B1.5  Regulatory bodies and enforcement agencies
  B1.6  Service providers and IWRM
  B1.7  Strengthening the public sector water utilities
  B1.8  Role of the private sector
  B1.9  Civil society institutions and community based organisations
  B1.10  Local authorities
  B1.11  Building partnerships

B2  Building institutional capacity – developing human resources.
The ToolBox includes tools for upgrading the skills and understanding of public decision- makers, water managers
and professionals, for regulatory bodies and capacity building for empowerment of civil society groups.
  B2.1  Participatory capacity
  B2.2  Capacity of water professionals
  B2.3  Regulatory capacity

C  MANAGEMENT INSTRUMENTS

C1  Water resources assessment – understanding resources and needs.
A set of tools are assembled to assist water resources assessment. Assessment starts with the collection of
hydrological, physiographic, demographic and socio-economic data, and setting up systems for routine data
assembly and reporting.
  C1.1  Water resources knowledge base
  C1.2  Water resources assessment
  C1.3  Modelling in IWRM
  C1.4  Developing IWRM indicators
  C1.5  Ecosystem assessment
  C1.6  Water footprint and virtual water concept

Tools are available for river and lake basin planning entailing the comprehensive assembly and modelling of data
from all relevant domains. The planning should recognise the need for parallel action plans for development of the
management structures.
  C2.1  National IWRM plans
  C2.2  Basin management plans
  C2.3  Groundwater management plans
  C2.4  Coastal zone management plans
  C2.5  Water infrastructure implementation and IWRM
C3 Efficiency in Water Use – using water more efficiently.
Demand management involves a set of various tools for balancing supply and demand focusing on the better use of existing water withdrawals or reducing excessive use rather than developing new supplies.
C3.1 Efficiency of use
C3.2 Recycling and reuse
C3.3 Efficiency of supply

C4 Social change instruments – encouraging a water-oriented civil society.
Information is a powerful tool for changing behaviour in the water world, through school curricula, university water courses and professional and mid-career training, and transparency.
C4.1 Education curricula on water management
C4.2 Communication with stakeholders
C4.3 Raising public awareness

C5 Conflict resolution – managing disputes, ensuring sharing of water.
Conflict management has a separate compartment in the ToolBox since conflict is endemic in the management of water in many countries and several models are described.
C5.1 Conflict management
C5.2 Shared vision planning
C5.3 Consensus building

C6 Regulatory instruments – allocation and water use limits.
A set of tools on regulation is included as regulation- covering water quality, service provision, land use and water resource protection. Regulations are key for implementing plans and policies and can fruitfully be combined with economic instruments.
C6.1 Water rights and allocation
C6.2 Water quality
C6.3 Water services
C6.4 Land use
C6.5 Protecting freshwater ecosystem resources

C7 Economic instruments – using for efficiency and equity.
The ToolBox holds a set of economic tools involving the use of prices and other market-based measures to provide incentives to consumers and to all water users to use water carefully, efficiently and safely.
C7.1 Pricing of water and water services
C7.2 Pollution charges
C7.3 Water markets and tradable permits
C7.4 Subsidies
C7.5 Payment for environmental services

C8 Information exchange – improving knowledge for better water management.
Data sharing methods and technologies increase stakeholder access to information stored in public domain data banks and effectively complement more traditional methods of public information.
C8.1 Information management systems
C8.2 Sharing data for IWRM

C9 Assessment Instruments – analysing risks for better development options.
Tools for social, environmental and economic risks assessment are included for analysis of development options during the planning phase in order to achieve adequate measures to reduce or manage risks.
C9.1 Risk assessment and management
C9.2 Environmental assessment
C9.3 Social assessment
C9.4 Economic assessment
C9.5 Vulnerability assessment
3. Format and structure of abstracts (one page proposal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case title: up to 12 words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eg: Costa Rica – Introducing water resource charges</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtitle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enter a brief summary or &quot;sub-title&quot; of this item with a maximum length of 30 words.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg Describes introduction of surcharge on energy bills for water resource protection, linking water resource protection with deforestation. Case involved changes in legislation and policies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of the problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessons learned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Text under these headings should show: the main issues addressed, the approach taken, the lessons learned, and, most important, how the case illustrates an integrated approach to water resource management (Max. 350 words)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Tools Used:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Show the most important ones by referring to the tools listed above (max 4 tools)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: list tools, not simply main headings, e.g. A1.2 Policies with relation to water resources, C7.1 Pricing services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keywords:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact: Contact name, organisation, address, zip code, city, country, and email address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional information: web sites, other related reports links</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Format and structure for full case study reports

**MAIN TEXT**

The following is a suggestion of the structure you should use in your main text. What is important is that the issues mentioned below are fully addressed in your text.

1 Problems
Policy tools are used to address problems which have arisen and these problems should be clearly stated (eg depletion of ground water resources, increasing frequency of flooding, eutrophication of lake or estuary, failing water services in an urban area). It is important to describe the problem rather than manifestation of the problem itself.

2 Decisions and Actions Taken
This section should describe and explain the actions taken to address the problem. Issues which could be discussed here would include:

- Decisions taken at the start of the action. What were the objectives, who took the leadership role in the definition of objectives, who was involved in defining the objectives? What was the succession of decisions during project implementation?
- Actions taken, instruments used, stakeholders (Public, private and associations) and their role.
- Alternatives considered, how were the actions selected, information and methods used

3 Outcomes
This section should describe what happened as a result of the actions taken – and examine what were the underlying reasons. Some of the possible questions could be:

- What were the problems encountered during the implementation phase? How were they overcome? What problems still exist?
- What were the key implementation issues?
- Were the project objectives achieved? What were the results obtained: quantitative and qualitative results (such as; improvement of water ecosystems, water quality and peoples health; financial sustainability of the water infrastructure and delivery systems; or social improvements?)
What was the impact of the action: for example, on policies at national level? On capacity building (regulations created, institutions created, agreements with private partners, etc.)?

Who were the winners and losers as a consequence of the action/programme?

Sustainability – will the changes described continue to be effective? Financial, institutional, technical strengths and weaknesses

Resources used in the actions (How were resources obtained, level of cost recovery, level of dependency on external resources of the investment phase and the Operation phase of the initiative.

4 Lessons learned and replicability

This section considers how this experience can be used elsewhere.

What are the most important lessons from this case that might be useful for other countries and for water policy in the implementation of the IWRM approach?

Does this case have relevance in other places? Does it have wider relevance?

Importance of the case for IWRM

5 Contacts, references, organisations and people

Author:
Contact name, organisation, address, zip code, city, country, telephone and email address

References and websites
Max 10 items of max 250 characters

Published sources of information about the case study and relevant web sites

Organisations and people
Max 10 items of max 250 characters.

People or organisations involved in the case and willing to give more information (Name, Organisation, Address, Telephone, Fax, e-mail)

5. House style and layout

In order that the cases are easily added to the ToolBox and have a consistent style, GWP asks that the authors preparing cases follow these guidelines:

– Submit your file in Microsoft Word or plain ASCII text.
– Use rooted Standard English, reflecting the international system, and using European spellings (British English). The GWP will be responsible for final editing into GWP house style.
– A case should not exceed 10 pages or about 4000 words. Number your pages in one sequence throughout the typescript.
– If graphics, tables or photos are included as part of your case, submit them as a separate file in one of the following formats: XLS, TIFF, GIF and BMP.
– Include no more than 4 photos, no more than 4 graphs and/or other graphics. In total, there should not be more than 6 graphic units.
– Graphic units need to be kept simple in order that users can download speedily, and files transferred electronically are not too bulky.

Final formatting will be done by GWP.

6. Review procedures for cases

Review of cases

Full cases are reviewed by the review panel. The review team may request the author for amendments and when satisfied of the quality the review panel will recommend the inclusion of the case in the ToolBox.

Editing

The quality assured case is edited through the ToolBox Core Team following GWP’s house style.

Timing, progress and transparency

The targets for timing are:

From submission of one page proposal to assigning registration number and posting – approximately one week
Review and posting of full case depends on the amount of work needed, but the review team will aim to respond within two weeks of receipt of case.

7. Submission of cases

Case studies come from users of the ToolBox, and we welcome case study proposals from all those and others involved in implementing IWRM. The format for the one page case proposal can be downloaded in Word and submitted directly to the GWP. Case proposals can be submitted in writing to the ToolBox core team, the GWP regions, or be submitted electronically to the ToolBox web site. The address of the ToolBox core team is the GWP Secretariat:

Global Water Partnership Secretariat
Linnégatan 87D, SE-104 51 Stockholm, Sweden
Tel:+46 8 1213 86 00
Fax:+46 8 1213 86 04
E-mail: toolbox@gwp.org
The GWP website: http://www.gwp.org
The GWP ToolBox website: www.gwptoolbox.org